

Proposal to insert an	additional permitted use at (620 Elizabeth Drive, Bor	nyrigg Heights		
Proposal Title :	Proposal to insert an additional	permitted use at 620 Elizabe	th Drive, Bonnyrigg Heights		
Proposal Summary :		ment for the purpose of a se	eld Local Environmental Plan 2013 rvice station and take-away food &		
PP Number :	PP_2016_FAIRF_007_00	Dop File No :	16/10976		
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	03-Aug-2016	LGA covered :	Fairfield		
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	Fairfield City Council		
State Electorate :	CABRAMATTA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
Location Details					
Street : 620	Elizabeth Drive				
Suburb :	City :	Bonnyrigg Heights	Postcode : 2177		
Land Parcel : Lot	1 DP 781418		< C		
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Tessa Parmeter				
Contact Number :	0298601555				
Contact Email :	tessa.parmeter@planning.nsw.go	v.au	ē.		
RPA Contact Detai	ls				
Contact Name :	Elizabeth Workman				
Contact Number :	0297250292		Э.		
Contact Email :	eworkman@fairfieldcity.nsw.gov.	au			
DoP Project Manager Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Terry Doran				
Contact Number :	0298601579				
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.au	ı			
Land Release Data					
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :			
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy			

Proposal to insert an additional permitted use at 620 Elizabeth Drive, Bonnyrigg Heights

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	2.
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0 -	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	t Yes		
If No, comment			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
upporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessme	nt		
	bjectives - s55(2)(a	a)	
Is a statement of the o	bjectives provided? Ye	es	
Comment :	development of	amend the Fairfield Local Environments a service station and takeaway food and Bonnyrigg Heights, with development co	drink premises on land at 620

The proposal also seeks to introduce a maximum floor space area and limit use to no more than one restaurant or take away food and drink premises.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

It is proposed to maintain the current zone of RE2 Private Recreation for the site, and amend schedule 1 of Fairfield Local Environmental Plan 2013 to insert an additional permitted provision at 620 Elizabeth Drive, Bonnyrigg Heights, to permit with development consent, a service station and a take away food and drink premises.

- It is also proposed to limit the size of the development, requiring that: a) the combined gross floor area does not exceed 600m2 (excluding petrol filling areas); and
- b) there is no more than one restaurant or take away drink premises on the subject site at any one time.

DEPARTMENT COMMENT

It is noted that while the proposed additional uses of a 'service station' and 'take away food and drink premises' are not permissible within the zone, this zone does permit restaurants and cafes, function centres, recreation facilities and registered clubs.

Page 2 of 8

Proposal to insert an additional permitted use at 620 Elizabeth Drive, Bonnyrigg Heights

The proposal will consequently permit uses that are similar in nature to the above permitted uses.

The planning proposal, however, does not directly consider the option of rezoning the site to a zone that permits recreation facilities (indoors); service stations and take away food and drink premises. Under the Fairfield Local Environmental Plan 2013, these uses, for example, are permissible with development consent in the B2 Local Centre Zone.

In proposing additional uses, Council has taken into consideration the location of the site and adjoining uses. The site has frontage to Elizabeth Drive and is located between an existing Endeavour Energy electricity sub-station to the west); the Serbian Community Club (to the east); Bonnyrigg Sports Club soccer fields and an open space corridor (to the south) and the arterial road corridor of Elizabeth Drive (to the north). Existing residential development is located to the south west of the site.

While Council has acknowledged the need for the service station use at this location and the convenience of a take away facility, it has also sought to avoid other inappropriate development that would be permissible under another zone.

In these circumstances, no departmental objections are held to the proposal proceeding in this regard.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
 2.3 Heritage Conservation
 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
 4.3 Flood Prone Land
 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

SEPP No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 GMREP No. 2 - Georges River Catchment

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No

If No, explain :

SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

The planning proposal is consistent with all relevant Section 117 Directions except for:

Section 117 Direction 6.3 - Site Specific Provisions: The planning proposal intends to include an additional permitted use on the site with site specific provisions, limiting the size of the proposed development and the number of take away food and drink premises or restaurants that can be operational on the site at one time.

This is inconsistent with Section 117 Direction 6.3(4)(c), which states that a planning

26 Aug 2016 08:58 am

	n additional permitted use at 620 Elizabeth Drive, Bonnyrigg Heights
	proposal is to allow the land use on the relevant land without imposing development standards or requirements in addition to those already contained in that zone.
	The proposed limitation of the size and number of take away food and drink premises on the site is intended to minimise the impact on the adjoining land uses, and nearby residential areas.
	It is considered that the planning proposal's inconsistency with Section 117 direction 6.3 is of minor significance, and should be supported by the Secretary, or her delegate, in this instance.
	7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney This Direction has been revoked and therefore all references to this Direction and earlier versions of the metropolitan strategy should be removed from the planning proposal prior to public exhibition. Recommended accordingly.
	STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNG POLICIES The planning proposal is consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs).
	SEPP No. 33 - Hazardous and Offensive Development and and SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 - include requirements and consultations with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Endeavour Energy during the development application stage.
27	SEPP No. 55 - Remediation of Land - the schedule in the proposal does not address consistency with the SEPP. As the SEPP requires that consideration be given to a change of use by Council, it is recommended that the planning proposal be appropriately amended by Council prior to exhibition.
apping Provided	i - s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided'	? Yes
Comment :	The planning proposal includes a 'key sites' map identifying the site. The map is considered suitable for exhibition purposes.
	The planning proposal will amend Fairfield Local Environmental Plan 2013 Key Sites Map - KYS_008. This map will need to be prepared before the planning proposal is finalised.
Community consu	ultation - s55(2)(e)
Has community cons	ultation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Community consultation has been proposed. It is considered that the planning proposal is classified as a low impact planning proposal and it is recommended that the proposal must be made publicly available for at least 14 days.
dditional Directo	or General's requirements
Are there any additio	nal Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy	of the proposal
Does the proposal m	eet the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	

posal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : May 2013	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Fairfield Local Environmental Plan 2013 was notified on 17 May 2013. The planning proposal is consistent with the Principal LEP.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is not the result of any strategic work or study. This is an owner initiated planning proposal.
Consistency with	The planning proposal is generally consistent with a Plan for Growing Sydney.
strategic planning framework :	In particular: * Direction 1.4 - Transform the productivity of Western Sydney through growth and investment, as the proposal has the potential to create new employment opportunities; and
	* Direction 2.1 - Revitalise existing suburbs - as it has the potential to support urban development by providing services along the existing strategic arterial corridor of Elizabeth Drive.
Environmental social	ENVIRONMENTAL
economic impacts :	The site is not known to contain acid sulphate soils; be bushfire prone; or flood affected.
	The site a vacant; grassed; and contains limited vegetation. It is unlikely to contain critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities.
	There is a Endeavour Energy electricity substation adjacent to the site. It is recommended that Council consult with Endeavour Energy during the exhibition period.
	The proposed service station has the potential to create environmental impacts. SEPP No. 33 - Hazardous and Offensive Development, outlines matters for consideration in any future development application.
	It is therefore recommended that Council consult with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) during the public exhibition period.
	SOCIAL
	The planning proposal may impact on the surrounds with reduced amenity through increased traffic, waste and rubbish removal, lighting, and odours from the service station and take away food and drink premises.
	By limiting the potential maximum size of any development, Council is seeking to ameliorate these impacts.
	Department Comment These amenity issues may be appropriately addressed at development application stage.
	TRAFFIC There is the potential for increased traffic and traffic impacts along Elizabeth Drive.
	The planning proposal is supported by a traffic study, which indicates the proposal is unlikely to place a level of demand on existing public infrastructure that could not be managed satisfactorily by Council.
	Department Comment

26 Aug 2016 08:58 am

	It is recommended that Counc public exhibition period.	cil consult with Roads and Ma	ritime Services dı	uring the
	ECONOMIC The proposed additional perm employment and service oppo	nitted uses have the potential to ortunities in the area.	to provide additic	nal
Assessment Process				
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Fimeframe to make .EP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Transport for NSW - Roads ar Sydney Water Other	nd Maritime Services		
s Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No	8		
2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? Yes			
f no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(I	b) : No			
f Yes, reasons :				
dentify any additional s	tudies, if required.	1.25		
f Other, provide reason	S :			
	2			
dentify any internal con	sultations, if required :			
No internal consultation				
s the provision and fun	ding of state infrastructure releva	nt to this plan? No		
f Yes, reasons :		sting urban area. It is consider	ad that the site c	an he
	sufficiently serviced with es			
uments	<i>z</i>			
Document File Name		DocumentType N	ame	Is Public
etter to Department r	equesting Gateway	Proposal Coverir		Yes
Determination.pdf				
Outcomes Committee Minutes - July 2016.doc.pdf		Proposal		Yes Yes
Outcomes Committee Report - July 2016.doc.pdf Attachment A to Council Report - Concept Plan - 620		Proposal Proposal		Yes
Elizabeth Drive - July 2		op oou		
Attachment B - Planni	ng Proposal.pdf	Proposal		Yes
	cil Report - 620 Elizabeth Drive - Proposed Access Arrangemer			Yes
July 2016.pdf	. Toposou Acocas Anangeme			
July Lo Io.pul				

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

- S.117 directions: 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
 - 2.3 Heritage Conservation
 - 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils4.3 Flood Prone Land
 - 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
 - 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

 Additional Information :
 INCONSISTENCY WITH SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

 The planning proposal is inconsistent with Section 117 Direction 6.3 - Site Specific

 Provisions. It is recommended that the Delegate of the Secretary agree that the inconsistency with Section 117 Direction 6.3 is of minor significance.

DELEGATION OF THE GREATER SYDNEY COMMISSION'S PLAN MAKING FUNCTIONS Fairfield City Council has requested delegation of the Greater Sydney Commission's plan making functions for this planning proposal. As the planning proposal is considered minor in nature, the request for plan making delegation is supported in this instance.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Prior to exhibition the planning proposal is to be amended in the following manner:
 - (a) removal of item 7 Metropolitan Planning and item 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney (and associated words) from the table for Section 117 Directions within the planning proposal (p. 13);
 - (b) inclusion of written justification in the table for SEPP 55 -Remediation of Land (p. 9 refers) that the planning proposal is not
 - inconsistent with the requirements of that State Environmental Planning Policy.

2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:

- the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days; and
- (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2013).
- 2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:
 - Transport for NSW Roads and Maritime Services
 - Endeavour Energy
- Sydney Water
- Environmental Protection Authority

Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.

3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from

26 Aug 2016 08:58 am

	any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons ;	The planning proposal has the potential to enable the redevelopment of the site, and promote service and employment opportunities.
Signature:	Row
Printed Name:	TDORAN Date: 06/8/16